NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

APR 28 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

RICKY ALAN COLE,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 07-10227

D.C. No. CR-06-00215-DCB

MEMORANDUM*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

RICKY ALAN COLE,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 07-10228

D.C. No. CR-06-00187-DCB

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 07-10229

Plaintiff - Appellee,

D.C. No. CR-06-01828-DCB

v.

RICKY ALAN COLE,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Arizona David C. Bury, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 13, 2009**

Before: GRABER, GOULD, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

In these consolidated appeals, Ricky Alan Cole appeals from his guilty-plea convictions and 180-month aggregate sentence for possession with intent to distribute methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(B)(viii), possession of a firearm during and in relation to a drug trafficking crime, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1)(A)(i), and being a felon in possession of a firearm, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2).

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Cole's counsel has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided the appellant with the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal because Cole waived his right to appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.